Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary    Examiner	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
All Participants:  Status of Application: Allowed  (1) Barbara J. Musser.  (2) Gerald Hespos.  (4)		10/090,598	CARANO, DONALD J.
All Participants:  (1) Barbara J. Musser.  (2) Gerald Hespos.  (3)		Examiner	Art Unit
(1) Barbara J. Musser. (2) Gerald Hespos. (3)		Barbara J. Musser	1733
Date of Interview: 9 September 2004  Time: Type of Interview: 9 Telephonic	All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>All</u>	owed
Date of Interview: 9 September 2004  Time:    Type of Interview:   Telephonic   Telephonic   Telephonic   Applicant   Applicant's representative)   Telephonic   Telephonic   Telephonic   Applicant   Applicant's representative)   Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:   Yes   No   If Yes, provide a brief description:  Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none   Claims discussed:   Port or art documents discussed:   Port or art documents discussed:   Part II.   SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:   Examiner indicated nonelected claims would be canceled and that the title would be changed to reflect the currently claimed invention   Part III.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the altowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.   It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(1) <u>Barbara J. Musser</u> .	(3)	
Type of Interview:    Telephonic   Telephonic   Applicant   Applicant's representative)	(2) <u>Gerald Hespos</u> .	(4)	
Telephonic   Video Conference   Personal (Copy given to:   Applicant   Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: 9 September 2004	Time:	
Rejection(s) discussed: none  Claims discussed: 9-17  Prior art documents discussed: none  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner indicated nonelected claims would be canceled and that the title would be changed to reflect the currently claimed invention  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No	ant's representative)	
Rejection(s) discussed: none  Claims discussed: 9-17  Prior art documents discussed: none  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner indicated nonelected claims would be canceled and that the title would be changed to reflect the currently claimed invention  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.		
Prior art documents discussed: none  Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner indicated nonelected claims would be canceled and that the title would be changed to reflect the currently claimed invention  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the intervied directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Rejection(s) discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner indicated nonelected claims would be canceled and that the title would be changed to reflect the currently claimed invention  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner indicated nonelected claims would be canceled and that the title would be changed to reflect the currently claimed invention  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part II.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Examiner indicated nonelected claims would be canceled and th	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS at the title would be changed to re	S DISCUSSED: flect the currently claimed
of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part III.		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Decrease 14 to 20 to 15 t	of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r	e examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Decrease 4.1			
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Decrease 14 to 0)			
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's December 1977)			
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's December 1977)			
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's December 1977)			
//ppilicativ/pullcatts Representative Standature — if appropriate)	Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Sig	mature – if appropriate)